



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/768,760

01/29/2004

Tom Minh Miner

5434-16

7041

27799

7590

12/07/2007

COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE
551 FIFTH AVENUE
SUITE 1210
NEW YORK, NY 10176

EXAMINER

OSINSKI, BRADLEY JAMES

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

4111

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/07/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/768,760	Applicant(s) MINH MINER ET AL.	
	Examiner Bradley J. Osinski	Art Unit 4111	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 January 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 and 23-51 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 22 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05 March 2004</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-21 and 23-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ford (5,779,674) in view of Alchas (4,952,210), Knighton (4,571,244), and Bormann et al (6,336,916).

a. Regarding claim 1, **A self-priming IV-solution delivery system for intravenous delivery of a solution from a container to a patient when the container is disposed at a height above the patient, comprising:**

- i. **a coupling assembly having an input and an output, said input configured for coupling to the container to provide flow of the solution through the coupling assembly to the output;** In figure 1 of Ford, the coupling assembly consists of tube 14, clamp 18, and a connector for securing tube 14 to bag 12. Tube 14 has an input an output where the input is configured for coupling to container 12. Ford teaches, *"The flow of liquid from media bag through the inlet line 14 can be selectively blocked by the use of a tubing clamp 18."* (Col.3 lines 59-60)
- ii. **a drip chamber having a top wall, a bottom wall, a side wall, an input and an output and coupled, at its input, to said coupling**

assembly output to receive solution drops formed from the flow of the solution for forming a reservoir defined between said bottom wall and side wall, said drip chamber side wall having an opening located at a height between said top wall and said bottom wall, and a vent plug covering said opening, said vent plug allowing air contained in said drip chamber which becomes displaced upon formation of the reservoir to escape from said drip chamber through said vent plug;

and Ford teaches, *"Drip chamber 10 comprises an elongated, tubular housing 22 and an end cap 24. Together, housing 22 and end cap 24 define an interior chamber 26 for receiving and collecting fluids. Drip chamber 10 further comprises an elongated, cylindrically shaped hydrophobic filter assembly 28 and a check valve 30."* (Col.4 lines 7-12) and *"...hydrophobic barrier that will allow air to pass from interior chamber 26, without passing fluid."* (Col.4 lines 55-57) From figure 1 it is apparent that the fluid for the system comes from bag 12 and flows in via tube 14. Ford does not teach having a side opening between the top and bottom walls. Alchas is drawn to a 'parenteral fluid administration set' (Title), the same as Ford (see abstract), and teaches a hydrophobic membrane on the side wall of a container to allow air to escape from the container. Alchas teaches, *"Venting means is provided for communicating between chambers 52 and the exterior of the housing for allowing air to enter the chamber as fluid leaves the chamber through the passageway. In this*

embodiment, the venting means includes an aperture 58 in the side wall of housing 51 extending between chamber 52 and the outside of the housing. Aperture 58 is covered by an air-permeable liquid-impermeable element 59 positioned so that all gases exchanged through aperture 58 pass through element 59. (Col.6 lines 65-68 and Col.7 lines 1-3). Further it would have been obvious that placing the vent of Ford on the side of the drip chamber would function just as effectively as placing it on the top as the greater pressure inside of the drip chamber would push air out of the chamber to the atmosphere, additionally it would save on material as a long filter assembly as in figure 3 of Ford would not be needed.

iii. **a patient conduit coupled to said drip chamber output and having a termination end attachable to an intravenous needle of the patient for receiving a flow of solution from the reservoir, said patient conduit having** Ford teaches, *"Similarly, the flow of fluid of out drip chamber 10 and through outlet line 16 can be selectively controlled through the use of tubing clamp 20."* (Col.3 lines 61-63). Ford does not specifically teach the patient conduit terminating in an intravenous needle. Although the end of outlet line 16 is not shown, it is inherent to the device of Ford to use an intravenous needle to interface with the patient. In any event it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to attach an intravenous needle to the end of the outlet line 16 in order to 'administer parenteral fluids' (Ford, Abstract) to a patient.

iv. **a flow restriction device to restrict the flow of air and liquid in the patient conduit to allow the reservoir to attain a level at least equal to the height of said vent plug while air in the patient conduit is expelled from said termination end, wherein wetting of said vent plug by the reservoir prevents entry of air through said vent plug to said drip chamber and prevents the exit of solution from said drip chamber through said vent plug.** Ford only teaches a clamp 20, it does not teach expelling air in the patient conduit from the termination end. Knighton is drawn to a system for removing gas bubble from liquids, which Ford teaches as important: *"Prior to infusion of any fluid, however, it is generally desired to remove air or other gases which might be present in the solution. In many situations, removal of gas is absolutely essential to avoid a gas embolism"* (Col.1 lines 13-17, Ford). Knighton specifically states, *"The system is inexpensive and simple to use. A nurse or doctor merely inserts the device into the IV line. Entrapped gas flows out of the chamber through the second gas-passing filter, the gas-free fluid flows through the first fluid-passing filter into the patient."* (Col.1 lines 66-68 to Col.2 lines 1-2) Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the gas expelling device of Knighton to the end of the patient conduit in order to further expel gas in order to avoid a gas embolism in the patient. Knighton further teaches, *"...liquid flow can be immediately resumed by returning the selector valve to its liquid flowing*

second position.” (Col.3 lines 11-13) Thus the flow of air and liquid in the patient conduit can be restricted until the reservoir attains the appropriate level. Ford does not teach wetting of the vent plug preventing entry of air. Bormann et al, which is drawn to a device for administration of parenteral fluids – especially a drip chamber, teaches, “...the vent comprising a liquid sealable porous medium that allows gas in the housing to pass through the medium until the medium is contacted by the liquid, the vent and the housing being cooperatively arranged to allow the gas to be vented from the housing and for liquid to fill the housing to a predetermined level that is less than the total liquid capacity of the housing.” (Col.2 lines 61-67).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the vent plug material of Bormann et al with Ford in order to allow the housing to be filled to a predetermined level and prevent air from entering the system when that predetermined level is reached which wets the vent plug.

- b. Regarding claim 2, **The system of claim 1, wherein said flow restriction device comprises a termination end cap having a vent formed therein, said end cap configured for attachment to said termination end.**

See 2.a.iv above for combination of the device of Knighton with ford. It is apparent that the device of Knighton can serve as an end cap.

- c. Regarding claim 3, **The system of claim 2, wherein said end cap comprises a termination end vent plug for allowing air present in said**

patient conduit to pass through said end cap vent and for preventing leakage of medicament from said end cap. See 2.a.iv above for combination of the device of Knighton with Ford. Knighton further teaches, *"The first filter is hydrophilic; it will not allow gas bubbles to pass through, but will allow liquid to pass through. The second filter is hydrophobic and allows air to pass out from the chamber but will not pass liquid. The gas-free liquid passes through the hydrophilic filter and flows into the patient."* (Col.1 lines 60-65)

d. Regarding claim 4, **The system of claim 3, wherein said termination end vent plug comprises a hydrophilic porous material.** See 2.a.iv and 2.c above

e. Regarding claim 5, **The system of claim 2, wherein said flow restriction device further comprises a flow restriction device positioned on said patient conduit for selectively closing said patient conduit to isolate the patient from said drip chamber.** See 2.a.iv above for combination of device of Knighton with Ford. Knighton further teaches, *"...liquid flow can be immediately resumed by returning the selector valve to its liquid flowing second position."* (Col.3 lines 11-13) Thus the patient can be isolated from the drip chamber.

f. Regarding claim 6, **The system of claim 1, further comprising a flexible conduit coupled between said coupling assembly output and said drip chamber input and having a length for separating a relative distance between said drip chamber and said coupling assembly so that said drip**

chamber is positioned in close proximity to the patient to provide observation of said drip chamber, and to provide manipulation of said drip chamber with, at most, minimal disturbance of said coupling assembly. It is notoriously well known to use flexible conduits of varying sizes to connect parts of IV setup. It is further well known in the art to use lengths of tubing such that as little disturbance as possible is caused to other parts of the IV setup if one part of the setup needs to be manipulated. Finally, Ford teaches, “...a *healthcare professional can estimate the flow rate of the fluid by watching the drip rate as the fluid falls through the air space in the top of the drip chamber.*” (Col.2 lines 33-37). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to position the drip chamber close the patient so that a healthcare professional could estimate the fluid flow rate and check on the patient at the same time, with minimal movement.

g. Regarding claim 7, **The system of claim 1, wherein a drip orifice is located in said drip chamber top wall for forming the solution drops.** Ford teaches, “*Optionally, inlet line 14 may also terminate in a nozzle (not shown) that is designed to from the fluid delivered through inlet line 14 into droplet before falling into interior chamber 26.*” (Col.4 lines 49-52)

h. Regarding claim 8, **The system of claim 1, wherein the height of said side wall opening coincides with a reservoir level occupying approximately 1/3 of the total volume defined in said drip chamber.** Ford does not teach that 1/3 of the volume of the drip chamber is occupied by the reservoir. However,

Ford discloses *"The precise dimensions of housing 22 depend on the desired volume, the presently preferred ranged for which is 5-35 milliliters, as well as the desired medical application for which the drip chamber 10 is to be utilized."* (Col.4 lines21-25). Ford does not disclose expressly the reservoir occupying 1/3 of the total volume of the drip chamber. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to place the sidewall aperture of Ford and Alchas combined as in 2.a.ii above at a location such that the reservoir occupies 1/3 of the volume of the drip chamber because Applicant has not disclosed that utilizing only 1/3 of the volume of the drip chamber provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with a drip chamber volume range of 5-35 mL. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Ford to obtain the invention as specified in claim 8.

i. Regarding claim 9, **The system of claim 1, wherein said vent plug comprises an absorbing material and a housing connected to said side wall opening and defining a cavity for receiving a formation of said absorbent material, and wherein said absorbing material comprises a super-absorbent polymer which expands in response to wetting by the reservoir.**

See 2.a.iv above for combination with vent plug material of Bormann et al with Ford. Bormann et al further characterizes the absorbing material via, *"A variety of materials may be used, provided the requisite properties of the porous medium*

10 are achieved. These properties include the necessary strength to handle the differential pressures encountered in use and the ability to provide the desired permeability without the application of excessive pressure. Suitable starting materials include synthetic polymers including polyamides, polyesters, polyolefins, particularly polypropylene and polymethylpentene, perfluorinated polyolefins, such as polytetrafluoroethylene, polysulfones, polyvinylidene difluoride, polyacrylonitrile and the like, and compatible mixtures of polymers. Within the class of polyamides, suitable polymers include, for example, polyhexamethylene adipamide, poly- ϵ -caprolactam, polymethylene sebacamide, poly-7-aminoheptanoamide, polytetramethylene adipamide (nylon 46), polyhexamethylene azelaamide, and polyhexamethylene adipamide (nylon 66). (Col.7 lines 6-24, emphasis added) Many of these chemicals are the same as those Applicant refers to as suitable absorptive materials in paragraph 30.

j. Regarding claim 10, **The system of claim 9, wherein said vent plug further comprises an anti-bacterial agent.** It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to give the vent plug anti-bacterial properties, as it would be art recognized as a location that bacteria could enter the IV system (even with a pore size of 5 micrometers as Bormann et al teaches) and then be introduced directly into the bloodstream of the patient, and using an anti-bacterial is notoriously well known to prevent this.

k. Regarding claim 11, **The system of claim 1, wherein said vent plug comprises a housing connected to said side wall opening and defining a**

cavity having a first end in communication with said drip chamber, and a second end in communication with a surrounding atmosphere, said cavity receiving an amount of an absorbing material which expands in response to wetting by the reservoir, said absorbing material comprising a granular super-absorbent polymer, and further comprising a filter disposed at said first end and a venting membrane disposed at said second end. Looking at figure 5 of Alchas, it is apparent that if the membrane were located on the side wall of the drip chamber, the cavity/aperture would have one end in communication with the drip chamber and another in communication with the atmosphere. See 2.i regarding the super-absorbing material. Bormann et al further characterizes the vent plug via, *"In accordance with one embodiment according to FIGS. 1-3, the porous medium 10 comprises the liquophobic element and the liquophilic element arranged in the housing 14 to vent gas through gas passageway 5 until the liquophilic element is contacted or covered by the liquid being transferred."* (Col.6 lines 42-48) In this case, in order for the liquophilic element to be contacted by liquid, the liquophobic would be exposed to the atmosphere, and may be considered a venting membrane. It would have been obvious to of ordinary skill in the art to place a venting liquiphobic membrane between the super-absorbant polymer and atmosphere so that fluid and other contaminants could not enter the drip chamber from the atmosphere. Bormann et al also teaches, *"The device can include additional layers or elements, e.g. as spacers and/or supports with respect to the porous medium*

10. *An exemplary support or spacer layer can be a mesh or screen.*" (Col.7 lines 37-40) It therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add a mesh/screen layer between the absorbing polymer and drip chamber to provide support to the absorbing polymer.

l. Regarding claim 12, **The system of claim 11, wherein said vent plug further comprises an anti-bacterial agent.** See 2.j above.

m. Regarding claim 13, **The system of claim 1, wherein said vent plug comprises a cannula defining a cavity, and wherein an absorbing material which expands in response to wetting by the reservoir comprises an amount of super-absorbent polymer material disposed in said cavity, said cannula dimensioned for securement within said side wall opening and having a first end in communication with said drip chamber, and a second end in communication with a surrounding atmosphere.** See 2.k above.

According to the American Heritage Dictionary, a cannula is defined as 'a flexible tube, usually containing a trocar at one end, that is inserted into a bodily cavity, duct, or vessel to drain fluid or administer a substance such as a medication'.

Ford does not specifically teach a cannula for securement within the side wall opening. Ford does, however, teach, *"Support structure 53 provides structural support to membrane 52, thereby preventing membrane 52 from collapsing under the force of fluid and/or gas pressures generated within the interior chamber 26. Support structure 53 can be made rigid or semi-rigid nylon, ABS, polycarbonate or other suitable material."* (Col.5 lines 25-30) A flexible tube is

well known within the art to provide support and is easily mounted in the wall of a drip chamber. Also, a tube that contains all membranes inside of the tube would be easier to replace or remove for cleaning than many other types of mountings in the event one of the membranes is damaged. It would thus have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide a support structure, such as a tube, to prevent the membrane from collapsing from fluid or gas pressures and allow for replaceable but secure mounting within the drip chamber wall.

n. Regarding claim 14, **The system of claim 1, wherein said vent plug comprises a rigid core of impervious material surrounded by said absorbing material which expands in response to wetting by the reservoir.**

See citation of Ford in 2.m above. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the core of the vent plug of a rigid impervious material so that the vent plug does not collapse due to high pressures within the drip chamber. Alternatively a rigid core of impervious material would be less costly than a plug made entirely of super-absorbant polymer, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a rigid core in order to lower the cost of the device.

o. Regarding claim 15, **The system of claim 9, wherein said housing cavity has a trapezoidal cross-section and wherein said formation of said super-absorbent polymer material substantially occupies said housing cavity, said housing further comprising an obstruction positioned at a housing end in communication with said drip chamber for maintaining said**

formation in said housing cavity. See 2.k regarding the filter/screen as an obstruction to maintain the polymer material within the housing cavity. As for the trapezoidal shape, Ford discloses a generally cylindrical housing cavity. Ford does not disclose expressly a trapezoidal housing cavity. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to give the housing cavity a trapezoidal cross-section because Applicant has not disclosed that a trapezoidal shaped cavity provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with Ford because both cavities will retain the vent plug. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Ford to obtain the invention as specified in claim 15.

p. Regarding claim 16, **The system of claim 1, wherein said coupling member comprises a piercing member.** Ford does not teach a coupling member with a piercing member. However it is notoriously well known within the art that many medical fluid supply bags are accessed via piercing. It therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a piercing member on the coupling member.

q. **Regarding claim 17, The system of claim 16, wherein said piercing member defines a closable venting conduit and a liquid conduit.** Ford does not teach a piercing member defining a closable venting conduit and a liquid conduit. However, as in 2.a.iv above. It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art to define a closable venting conduit and liquid conduit in the coupling member, or in this case a piercing member, in order to remove as much air from the system as possible so as to not cause a gas embolism.

r. Regarding claim 18, **The system of claim 17, wherein said coupling assembly further comprises a funnel portion for directing solution from the container to said drip chamber.** Ford teaches, *"Inlet line may also terminate in a nozzle (not shown) that is designed to form the fluid delivered through inlet line 14 into droplets before falling into interior chamber 26."* (Col.4 lines 50-52, *emphasis added*) A nozzle is most often a type of funnel.

s. Regarding claim 19, **The system of claim 18, wherein said coupling assembly further comprises a membrane disposed within said funnel portion for preventing air trapped above said membrane from entering said drip chamber once the container is empty.** See 2.q above and 2.a.iv above for combination of the device of Knighton with Ford. The device of Knighton is capable of preventing air trapped above the membrane from entering the drip chamber once the container is empty.

t. Regarding claim 20, **The system of claim 19, wherein said coupling assembly further comprises an air filter for interfacing an area above said membrane with a surrounding atmosphere to allow air which may be trapped in said coupling member above said membrane to escape to the surrounding atmosphere.** See 2.q above and 2.a.iv above for combination of

the device of Knighton with Ford. The device of Knighton is capable of allowing trapped air to escape to the atmosphere.

u. Regarding claim 21, **The system of claim 1, wherein said coupling member comprises an output end defining a drip orifice for forming the solution drops.** Ford teaches, *"Inlet line may also terminate in a nozzle (not shown) that is designed to form the fluid delivered through inlet line 14 into droplets before falling into interior chamber 26."* (Col.4 lines 50-52, *emphasis added*)

v. Regarding claim 23, **The system of claim 1, further comprising an outer shield connected to said side wall above said vent plug in an exterior of said drip chamber and extending across said vent plug.** See 2.k above. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a shield for the vent plug to prevent contamination of the system.

w. Regarding claim 24, **In an IV-solution delivery system for intravenous delivery of a solution from a container to a patient when the container is disposed at a height above the patient, having a coupling assembly with an input and an output, said input configured for coupling to the container to provide flow of the solution through the coupling assembly to the output, a drip chamber having a top wall, a bottom wall, a side wall, an input and an output and coupled, at its output, to said coupling assembly to receive solution drops formed from the flow of the solution for forming a reservoir defined between said bottom wall and side wall, and a patient conduit**

coupled to said drip chamber output and having a termination end attachable to an intravenous needle of the patient for receiving a flow of solution from the reservoir, the improvement providing a self-priming of the solution delivery system and comprising: an opening formed in said drip chamber side wall at a height between said top wall and said bottom wall, and a vent plug covering said opening, said vent plug comprised of a material for allowing air contained in said drip chamber which becomes displaced upon formation of the reservoir to escape from said drip chamber through said vent plug; and said patient conduit having a flow restriction device to restrict the flow of air and liquid in the patient conduit to allow the reservoir to attain a minimum level at least equal to the height of said vent plug while air in the patient conduit is expelled from said termination end, wherein wetting of said vent plug by the reservoir prevents entry of air through said vent plug to said drip chamber and prevents the exit of solution from said drip chamber through said vent plug. See 2.a i-iv above.

x. **Regarding claim 25, The improvement of claim 24, wherein said vent plug comprises a super-absorbent polymer material which swells in response to wetting by the reservoir. See 2.i above.**

y. **Regarding claim 26, The system of claim 25, wherein said vent plug comprises a housing connected to said side wall opening and defining a**

cavity for receiving a formation of said super-absorbent polymer material.

See 2.k above.

z. Regarding claim 27, **The system of claim 25, wherein said vent plug comprises a housing connected to said side wall opening and defining a cavity having a first end in communication with said drip chamber, and a second end in communication with a surrounding atmosphere, said cavity receiving an amount of said super absorbent polymer material in a granular form, and further comprising a filter disposed at said first end and a venting membrane disposed at said second end.** See 2.k above.

aa. Regarding claim 28, **The system of claim 25, wherein said vent plug comprises a cannula defining a cavity and containing an amount of said super-absorbent polymer material therein, said cannula dimensioned for securement within said side wall opening and having a first end in communication with said drip chamber, and a second end in communication with a surrounding atmosphere.** See 2.m above.

bb. Regarding claim 29, **The system of claim 24, wherein said vent plug comprises a rigid core of impervious material surrounded by a layer of super-absorbent polymer material.** See 2.n above.

cc. Regarding claim 30, **The system of claim 26, wherein said housing cavity has a trapezoidal cross-section and wherein said formation of super-absorbent polymer material substantially occupies said housing cavity, said housing further comprising an obstruction positioned at a housing**

end in communication with said drip chamber for 5 maintaining said formation in said housing cavity. See 2.o above.

dd. Regarding claim 31, **A drip chamber for use in a self-priming solution delivery system for intravenous delivery of a solution from a container to a patient, the solution delivery system including a coupling assembly having an input and an output and configured, at its input, for coupling to the container to provide flow of the solution through the coupling assembly output, and a patient conduit line for providing solution from the container to the patient, said drip chamber comprising: a top wall, a bottom wall, a side wall, an input and an output and coupled, at its input, to the coupling assembly output to receive solution drops formed from the flow of the solution for forming a reservoir defined between said bottom wall and side wall, said drip chamber side wall having an opening located at a height between said top wall and said bottom wall, and a vent plug covering said opening, said vent plug allowing air contained in said drip chamber which becomes displaced upon formation of the reservoir to escape from said drip chamber through said vent plug and preventing air from entering said drip chamber through said vent plug and solution from exiting said drip chamber through said vent plug upon wetting of said vent plug by said reservoir. See 2.a i-iv above.**

ee. Regarding claim 32, **The drip chamber of claim 31, wherein said vent plug comprises an absorbing material disposed in said opening.** See 2.i above

ff. Regarding claim 33, **The drip chamber of claim 31, wherein said vent plug is configured as a band of material having a section comprising an absorbing material, said vent plug being disposed about said drip chamber side wall so that said absorbing material is positioned 4 over said opening for covering said opening with said absorbing material.** See figure 5 of Alchas and see 2.a.ii above for the combination of Ford and Alchas. When the vent plug of Ford were to be placed on the side of the drip chamber as in Alchas, it would satisfy this claim.

gg. Regarding claim 34, **The drip chamber of claim 33, wherein said absorbing material comprises a super-absorbent polymer which expands in response to wetting by said reservoir.** See 2.i above.

hh. Regarding claim 35, **The drip chamber of claim 32, wherein the height of said side wall opening coincides with a reservoir level occupying approximately 1/3 of the total volume defined in said drip chamber.** See 2.h above.

ii. Regarding claim 36, **The drip chamber of claim 32, wherein said vent plug comprises a housing connected to said side wall opening and defining a cavity for receiving a formation of said absorbing material, and**

wherein said absorbing material comprises a super-absorbent polymer which expands in response to wetting by the reservoir. See 2.i above.

jj. **Regarding claim 37, The drip chamber of claim 32, wherein said vent plug comprises a housing connected to said side wall opening and defining a cavity having a first end in communication with said drip chamber, and a second end in communication with a surrounding atmosphere, said cavity receiving an amount of an absorbing material which expands in response to wetting by the reservoir, said absorbing material comprising a granular super-absorbent polymer, said vent plug further comprising a filter disposed at said first end and a venting membrane disposed at said second end. See 2.k above.**

kk. **Regarding claim 38, The drip chamber of claim 37, wherein said housing cavity has a trapezoidal cross-section and wherein said formation of said super-absorbent polymer material substantially occupies said housing cavity, said housing further comprising an obstruction positioned at a housing end in communication with said drip chamber for maintaining said formation in said housing cavity. See 2.o above.**

ll. **Regarding claim 39, The drip chamber of claim 32, further comprising an outer shield connected to said side wall above said vent plug in an exterior of said drip chamber and extending across said vent plug. See 2.k above. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a shield for the vent plug to prevent contamination of the system.**

mm. Regarding claim 40, **A drip chamber for use in a self-priming solution delivery system for intravenous delivery of a solution from a container to a patient, the solution delivery system including a coupling assembly having an input and an output and configured, at its input, for coupling to the container to provide flow of the solution through the coupling assembly output, and a patient conduit line for providing solution from the container to the patient, said drip chamber comprising: a top wall, a bottom wall, a side wall, an input and an output and coupled, at its input, to the coupling assembly output to receive solution drops formed from the flow of the solution for forming a reservoir defined between said bottom wall and side wall, said drip chamber side wall having a first section formed of a first material impervious to the solution and to air for preventing solution exiting said drip chamber through said side wall first section and for preventing air from exiting and entering said drip chamber through said side wall first section, and a second section located at a height between said top wall and said bottom wall and formed of a second material, said second material being pervious to 15 air when said second material is in a dry state to permit air from inside said chamber to 16 escape to an outside environment, said second material being impervious to air and to the 17 solution when said second material is wetted by said reservoir for preventing solution 18 exiting said drip chamber through said side wall second section and for preventing air from 19 exiting and entering said drip**

chamber through said side wall second section. See 2.a i-iv above. The first section would be considered the part of the drip chamber that is NOT the vent plug, and the second section would be considered the vent plug.

nn. Regarding claim 41, **The drip chamber of claim 40, wherein said second material comprises a super-absorbent polymer which expands in response to wetting by said reservoir.** See 2.i above

oo. Regarding claim 42, **The drip chamber of claim 40, wherein the height of said side wall second section coincides with a reservoir level occupying approximately 1/3 of the total volume defined in said drip chamber.** See 2.h above.

pp. Regarding claim 43, **A solution delivery system for intravenous delivery of a solution from a container to a patient, comprising: a coupling assembly having an input and an output, said input configured for coupling to the container to remove solution from the container; a patient conduit for providing the removed solution to a patient; means for regulating a flow rate of solution from said coupling assembly to said patient conduit, said patient conduit coupled at one end to said regulating means and having a termination end; and a termination end cap coupled to said termination end and having a vent for restricting the flow of solution into said patient conduit and allowing air displaced by the flow of solution in said patient conduit to escape through said termination end, said end cap further comprising a termination end vent plug for preventing the escape of**

solution through said termination end cap upon wetting of said vent plug

by the solution. See 2.a i-iv above. The drip chamber 10 of Ford regulates the flow rate of solution from the coupling assembly to the patient conduit.

Furthermore, as established previously by Ford, Knighton, and Bormann et al, the vent plug allows air out until wetted which is desirable because any air bubbles that enter a patient will cause a gas embolism. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a vent plug in the end cap so that air may escape until it is wetted by the medical fluid being delivered after which it will be sealed so that no air is delivered to the patient to cause a gas embolism.

qq. Regarding claim 44, **The medical delivery system of claim 43, wherein said termination end cap is releasably detachable to said termination end.**

See 2.a.iv above. The end cap of Knighton is releasably detachable. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the end cap releasably detachable for easier transportation of the system, easier cleaning and easier replacement if the module becomes damaged.

rr. Regarding claim 45, **The medical delivery system of claim 43, wherein said termination end cap is releasably detachable to said termination end by a luer connection.** A luer connection is notoriously well known within the art as a way of reversibly sealing medical devices together.

ss. Regarding claim 46, **The solution delivery system of claim 43, wherein said termination end vent plug comprises one of a super-absorbent polymer material and a hydrophobic material.** See 2.i above

tt. Regarding claim 47, **The solution delivery system of claim 43, wherein said regulating means comprises a drip chamber.** See figure 1 of Ford, item 10 is a drip chamber.

uu. Regarding claim 48, **The solution delivery system of claim 43, wherein said regulating means comprises an infusion pump.** It is notoriously well known within the art to use an infusion pump to regulate flow rates of medical fluids to a patient.

vv. Regarding claim 49, **A method of intravenous delivery of a solution from a container to a patient, comprising the steps of disposing the container at a height above the patient; attaching a coupling assembly to said container for providing flow of the solution from the container; coupling a drip chamber having a bottom wall, a side wall, an input, an output, an opening in the side wall, and a vent plug disposed over said opening, to said coupling assembly to receive solution drops formed from the flow of the solution; connecting a patient conduit to said drip chamber output; restricting the flow of solution in said patient conduit to a rate below the rate of solution entering said drip chamber to allow a reservoir defined between said bottom wall and side wall to form to a height for wetting said vent plug, said vent plug allowing air contained in said drip**

chamber which becomes displaced upon formation of the reservoir to escape from said drip chamber through said vent plug; connecting a termination end of said patient conduit to the patient once the vent plug is wet from the reservoir and air is removed from the patient conduit; and discontinuing said restriction step upon wetting of said vent plug by said reservoir and removal of air from said patient conduit. See 2.a i-iv above. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to keep the patient isolated from the drip chamber via the switch in the device of Knighton (see 2.e above) until the vent plug is wetted so that the drip chamber will properly regulate the flow of medical fluid to the patient.

ww. Regarding claim 50, **The method of claim 49, wherein said restricting step comprises disposing a termination end cap on said termination end, said termination end cap having a vent for allowing air displaced by the flow of solution in said patient conduit to escape through said termination end, said end cap further comprising a termination end vent plug for preventing the escape of solution through said vent upon wetting of said termination end cap plug by the solution.** See 2.pp above.

xx. Regarding claim 51, **The method of claim 49, wherein said restricting step comprises closing a clamp disposed on said patient conduit.** See figure 1 of Ford, clamp 20.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 22 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Examiner found no related prior art that used a shield or cover in the interior of the drip chamber that was anchored to the side wall above the vent plug and extended across the vent plug.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley J. Osinski whose telephone number is (571)270-3640. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9AM-5PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sam Yao can be reached on (571)272-1224. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 4111

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/B. J. O./

/Sam Chuan C. Yao/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4111